

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,748	02/09/2001	Kunio Nomura	Q63076 5874	
7.	590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			MILIA, MARK R	
	SEAS, PLLC ania Avenue, N.W.	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	OC 20037-3202		2622	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/17/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/779,748	NOMURA, KUNIO				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark R. Milia	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
	THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO 						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal. 10. The first in the state of the sum of the state of the sum of the	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attached explanation.	, , , , ,		ance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 9/6/05, but has not been entered because it does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the rejection of claims 1 and 9 and the proposed amendments thereto, the applicant asserts that the reference of Motosugi fails to teach "wherein the editing step is operative to effect a scaling process to fit the image located in the image extracted area of the second original to the image composited area of the first original by comparing the size of the image composited area with the size of the image extracted area." and "wherein the editing device is operative to compare a size of an image composited area of the first original indicated by the coordinate input device with a size of an image extracted area of the second original, thereby effecting a scaling process operative to fit an image located in the image extracted area to the image composited area." because the term "scaling" is known to involve enlarging or reducing the size of an object. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant as the

Art Unit: 2622

reference of Motosugi does teach such limitations. More specifically, the claim recites the phrase "scaling process" and the term "scaling" is also defined as "the act of measuring or arranging or adjusting according to a scale" (see http://wordnet.princeton.edu, reference is also hereby attached), which is what the reference of Motosugi discloses. Motosugi compares the composite image size with the extracted image size and essential performs a scaling process to decide which is the larger image and then places the smaller image on top of the larger image. Particularly, Motosugi discloses a "scaling process" between the two images. Therefore, Motosugi still anticipates the claim limitation as it serves the same purpose and function.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached at (571) 272-7402. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark R. Milia Examiner Art Unit 2622

MRM

C' SORY PATENT MAMINER